IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS 2 EASTERN DIVISION 3 In Re: ) No. 06 C 4674 ) Chicago, Illinois ) August 19, 2009 4 JP MORGAN CHASE & COMPANY ) 9:00 a.m. SECURITIES LITIGATION 5 6 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - MOTION BEFORE THE HONORABLE DAVID H. COAR 7 APPEARANCES: 8 For the Plaintiff Blau: WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLC 9 55 West Monroe Street Suite 1111 10 Chicago, Illinois 60601 BY: MR. ADAM J. LEVITT 11 WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER 12 FREEMAN & HERZ LLC 270 Madison Avenue 13 New York, New York 10016 BY: MR. JEFFREY G. SMITH 14 MS. DEMET BASAR 15 MR. JOSEPH N. GIELATA For the Hyland Plaintiffs: 501 Silverside Road 16 Suite 90 Wilmington, Delaware 19809 17 For the Defendants: SIDLEY & AUSTIN 18 1 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: MS. KATHLEEN L. ROACH 19 20 SULLIVAN & CROMWELL, LLP 125 Broad Street 21 New York, New York 10004 BY: MS. SHARON L. NELLES 22 TRACEY DANA McCULLOUGH, CSR, RPR 23 Official Court Reporter 219 South Dearborn Street 24 Room 1420 Chicago, Illinois 60604 25 (312) 922-3716

Case: 1:06-cv-04674 Document #: 335 Filed: 08/24/09 Page 1 of 5 PageID #:9591

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2	For the Intervenor:	CUMMINS LAW FIRM, 77 West Wacker Drive
3		Suite 4800 Chicago, Illinois 60603
4		BY: MR. ROBERT P. CUMMINS
5		CRONIN & CO., LTD.
6		77 West Wacker Drive Suite 4800
7		Chicago, Illinois 60603 BY: MR. THOMAS C. CRONIN
8		
9		
LO L1		
L2		
L3		
L <b>4</b>		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
2 <b>3</b>		

Case: 1:06-cv-04674 Document #: 335 Filed: 08/24/09 Page 2 of 5 PageID #:9592 2

```
THE CLERK: 06 C 4674: In Re: JP Morgan Chase & Co.
1
2
     Securities Litigation. There are several matters before the
3
     Court.
             MR. LEVITT: Good morning, Your Honor. Adam Levitt on
 4
5
    plaintiff's behalf.
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor, Jeffrey Smith on plaintiff
6
7
    Blau's behalf.
8
             MS. BASAR: Good morning, Your Honor. Demet Basar
9
    also for Dr -- plaintiff Dr. Steven Blau.
10
             MR. GIELATA: And good morning, Your Honor. Joseph
     Gielata on behalf of the Hyland plaintiffs.
11
12
             MS. ROACH: Good morning, Your Honor. Kathleen Roach
13
    on behalf of defendants.
             MS. NELLES: Good morning, Your Honor. Sharon Nelles
14
    from Sullivan & Cromwell on behalf of defendant.
15
16
             MR. CUMMINS: Bob Cummins for Sherlock, Judge.
             MR. CRONIN: Tom Cronin for Judge Sherlock, Judge.
17
18
              THE COURT:
                         I read the response from the intervenors.
19
    Apparently there's no objection to the settlement generally.
20
     There are objections to some of the language. And how do you
21
    propose we resolve that?
             MR. SMITH: Your Honor, on behalf of plaintiff Blau,
22
    we think that the order we had proposed for approval of the
23
24
    settlement is appropriate, Your Honor. It was not my
25
     understanding that the changes Your Honor suggested and the
```

criticism Your Honor made of our initial submission was sparked by the objectors. And, therefore, I think the challenged paragraph that overrules their objection is appropriate.

I certainly don't pretend to know exactly what's in Your Honor's mind, but that was my impression, Your Honor. I do want to apologize, Your Honor. I was going over the transcript of our last hearings. I realized that I had made a 180-degree misstatement to you when I said that the settlement did not provide that you retain jurisdiction to enforce its term. It does. It clearly did then. That's not a new piece of the settlement. It's in paragraph 13 of the stipulation of settlement, Your Honor. And when you asked that question back in whatever month that was, I just didn't have it in mind, and I, I misspoke. So I apologize for that.

THE COURT: Well, let me invite you to take a look at the rather peculiar position that the Seventh Circuit takes with respect the retention of jurisdiction. It is at odds with the position of every circuit that I'm aware of, and it makes it very difficult for Courts to enter a final order and retain jurisdiction. It would not be my intention to keep this case in stasis forever, but I'm going to -- I think the best way to do this is that I'm going to set this over for a couple of weeks. And to give everybody a chance to take a look at the Seventh Circuit cases so that we get this issue of retaining jurisdiction resolved.

1	I'll set it over to let's make it September 9 at	
2	9:00 o'clock. In the meantime, I'll take a look at the take	
3	another look at the objections to the language and I'll be	
4	prepared to rule on the attorney's fees.	
5	MR. SMITH: Does Your Honor have any questions about	
6	any other aspects of the motions that are pending?	
7	THE COURT: I do not.	
8	MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.	
9	MR. LEVITT: Thank you, Your Honor.	
10	THE COURT: Thank you very much.	
11	MR. CRONIN: Thanks for your time.	
12	MS. ROACH: Thank you, Your Honor.	
13	MS. NELLES: Thank you, Your Honor.	
14	MR. CUMMINS: Have a good day.	
15	THE COURT: You too.	
16	CERTIFICATE	
17	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true, correct	
18	and complete transcript of the proceedings had at the hearing	
19	of the aforementioned cause on the day and date hereof.	
20		
21	/s/TRACEY D. McCULLOUGH August 21, 2009	
22	Official Court Reporter Date United States District Court Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division	
23		
24		
25		